

## CREDIT OPINION

17 May 2017

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

### Contacts

Lori C Trevino 415-274-1757  
Analyst  
lori.trevino@moodys.com

Helen Cregger 415-274-1720  
VP-Sr Credit Officer  
helen.cregger@moodys.com

Leonard Jones 212-553-3806  
MD-Public Finance  
leonard.jones@moodys.com

Steven Goodman-Leibof 415-274-1723  
Associate Analyst  
steven.goodman-leibof@moodys.com

# Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District, CA

New Issue – Moody's Upgrades Santa Monica-Malibu USD, CA's GOs to Aaa; Assigns Aaa to 2012C GO Bonds

## Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa rating to Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District, CA's \$60 million Election of 2012 General Obligation (GO) Bonds, Series C. Concurrently, Moody's has upgraded the ratings on outstanding GO bonds to Aaa and outstanding certificates of participation (COPs) to Aa2. Post-issuance, the district will have \$383.7 million par value in GO debt and \$8.1 million in COPs, all Moody's rated. The outlook is stable.

The upgrade to Aaa reflects material improvement in the district's already strong financial profile. The district will start receiving new revenues from a half-cent sales tax increase approved by the voters of Santa Monica in November 2016, with a companion measure directing the city to provide a portion of the tax to the school district. The sales tax has no sunset and will provide the district with a significant, unrestricted revenue source.

The Aaa GO rating reflects the district's exceptionally large, diverse, and growing tax base; high resident wealth levels; very strong financial position bolstered by increasing local revenues; average debt burden; manageable pension and OPEB liabilities; and strong, conservative management. The rating incorporates the strength of the voter-approved, unlimited property tax pledge securing the bonds. The county rather than the district levies, collects, and disburses the district's property taxes, including the portion constitutionally restricted to pay debt service on GO bonds.

The Aa2 rating on the COPs is two notches below the GO rating, reflecting a standard legal structure for a California abatement lease financing and leased assets that we view as "more essential." While the 2001 COPs have a debt service reserve (DSR), the absence of a DSR for the 2010 COPs is a notable weakness partially mitigated by the district's otherwise strong credit fundamentals and healthy liquidity. The notching also reflects the strong legal features of California GO bonds that are not shared by lease revenue debt.

## Credit Strengths

- » Very large, diverse tax base, benefiting from its coastal location, with robust growth
- » Very high resident wealth levels
- » Supplementary revenues bolstering financial position and per-pupil resources

## Credit Challenges

- » Slow amortization of debt
- » Pension contribution rate increases

## Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that the district will maintain its improving financial position consistent with the Aaa rating category and that its large tax base will continue to expand, while exhibiting a very favorable socioeconomic profile.

## Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Not applicable

## Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Material decline in the financial position
- » Significant contraction to the tax base or wealth levels

## Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

<b>Santa Monica-Malibu USD, CA</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Economy/Tax Base</b>					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 37,576,797	\$ 39,101,560	\$ 41,637,141	\$ 43,691,490	\$ 46,876,732
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 343,682	\$ 355,239	\$ 375,333	\$ 390,172	\$ 418,617
Median Family Income (% of USMedian)	185.1%	186.9%	185.8%	184.0%	184.0%
<b>Finances</b>					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 138,053	\$ 152,680	\$ 158,448	\$ 180,979	\$ 183,597
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	24.6%	33.1%	35.0%	42.3%	37.6%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	29.2%	36.8%	44.6%	48.5%	50.4%
<b>Debt/Pensions</b>					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 236,362	\$ 315,712	\$ 303,553	\$ 352,262	\$ 380,205
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.7x	2.1x	1.9x	1.9x	2.1x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.6%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	1.4x	1.6x	1.8x	1.8x	1.9x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%

Operating funds include the general fund and debt service funds.

Source: Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District and Moody's Investors Service

## Recent Developments

Malibu residents have initiated an effort to unify a separate school district in Malibu, which would result in a reorganization into two separate districts. The district's board has indicated its commitment to seek a mutually beneficial and fair reorganization, which would be subject to statutory and regulatory requirements, including the approval of the Los Angeles County Office of Education, the California Department of Education, and a majority vote of Malibu voters. The Malibu Unification Negotiations Committee has submitted to the board for its consideration later this month a set of terms of agreement on the financial implications of the potential reorganization. Presuming board approval of the terms, as well as sufficient community support, and statutory and regulatory

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

approvals, the process is expected to take several years. Such a reorganization would impact the then-existing bonded indebtedness of the district between the two resulting school districts.

## Detailed Rating Considerations

### Economy and Tax Base: Very Large Tax Base Benefits from Long-Term, Robust Growth Along Pacific Coast; Very Strong Wealth Measures

The Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District serves residents of the cities of Santa Monica (Aaa Stable) and Malibu, as well as a portion of unincorporated Los Angeles County (Aa2 Positive). The district's FY 2017 assessed valuation (AV) is very large at \$49.9 billion, reflecting a strong 6.47% increase over the prior year. The district's tax base has demonstrated stable, consistent growth over many years, with 5.8% average annual growth rates over the last twenty five years. The size of the tax base compares favorably with other Aaa-rated school districts in California and nationally, with medians of \$26.6 billion and \$12.0 billion, respectively. The tax base is diverse, with the top ten taxpayers accounting for a low 5.9% of FY 2017 secured AV, and residential uses reflecting 74.4% of FY 2017 secured AV.

The local housing market has seen significant appreciation, as home prices in the district continue to rise, driving tax base growth. The median price of a single family home in Malibu is \$3.1 million and in Santa Monica is \$1.5 million, both far exceeding the median AV of a home in the district, which is nearly \$700,000 in FY 2017. There is also notable non-residential development underway in Santa Monica, which further supports continued tax base growth.

The district has very high resident wealth levels that compare favorably to state and national medians and represent a key credit strength. The median family income for district residents is 184.0% of the US median, compared to 196% and 188% medians for Aaa-rated districts in California and nationally. AV per capita is an extremely strong \$445,706 in FY 2017, ranking amongst the highest of Moody's-rated school districts. The unemployment rate in Santa Monica (4.3%), as of March 2017, is lower than both the state (5.1%) and nation (4.6%) for the same time period.

### Financial Operations and Reserves: Very Strong Financial Position Exhibits Flexibility and is Bolstered by Significant Supplemental Revenues; Very Strong Liquidity

The district's financial position is very strong, with a large \$150 million general fund operation that provides notable financial flexibility. The district's general fund available balances totaled \$32.5 million at the end of FY 2016, equaling a 22.0% of revenues, slightly below the medians for the rating category, which are 24.6% nationally and 28.6% in California. The district ended FY 2016 with a small general fund surplus, primarily the result of \$7 million in one-time revenues, which are being spent on one-time expenses.

The district's overall financial profile is strengthened by large supplemental revenue streams that significantly increase per-pupil resources. In FY 2017, the district's revenues include \$11.7 million from a parcel tax, which has no sunset date and is annually adjusted for inflation; \$8.8 million from a facilities use agreement with the city of Santa Monica; \$16 million from a one cent sales tax add-on, which does not expire and which the voters directed in a companion ballot measure to be used by the city of Santa Monica to support the district; \$2.3 million from property leases; and \$2 million generated annually by a school foundation. Collectively, these supplemental revenues account for 27% of FY 2017 general fund revenues. Half of the sales tax revenue is new in FY 2017, having received recent voter approval. Enrollment has also remained stable in recent years and out-of-district transfers have provided a lever to moderate potential enrollment declines, and currently account for approximately 9.2% of enrolled students. Notably, the district is approaching basic aid funding status, which would insulate it from volatility in state funding.

The district had been anticipating a very small structural deficit beginning in FY 2017, due to increases in compensation and fixed costs, which we expect will be fully addressed through the combination of new supplemental revenues and expenditure cuts to be identified in the FY 2018 budgeting process. Notably, the district has a track record of conservative budgeting, consistently outperforming its budgets in recent years. The district budgeted a \$14.1 million draw on reserves in FY 2017, but is now projecting the draw will be only \$3.1 million, due entirely to expenditure of prior-year, one-time funding. We anticipate that the district's financial position will strengthen in the near term, given new and substantial local revenues, which are the primary driver for upgrade to Aaa.

The district's operating fund available balances, which for the purposes of our analysis includes the general fund and debt service funds, was a very strong 37.6% of operating revenues.

## LIQUIDITY

The district continues to maintain a healthy liquidity position which remained stable and resilient during the recession, despite the state's practice of deferring revenues to later fiscal periods. As of FY 2016, general fund net cash totaled \$56.0 million, or 38.1% of revenues. Net cash on an operating basis, inclusive of both the General and Debt Service Funds, was a stronger \$92.5 million (50.4% operating fund revenues). The district also has \$17 million outside the general fund which is available for temporary borrowing. Given the district's more diverse revenue base, which is less dependent on a single source of revenue, we expect liquidity to remain strong.

## Debt and Pensions: Typical Debt Levels, Manageable Through Continued Tax Base Growth, Despite Slow Amortization; Manageable Pension and OPEB Liabilities

The district has direct debt levels typical of Aaa-rated school districts in the state and nation. The district's post-issuance net direct debt burden is 0.8% of AV, while overall debt, including overlapping tax and assessment-backed obligations to the tax base is 2.3% of AV. The ten-year payout rate is a slow 32% and well below average relative to state and national benchmarks for the rating category. Current debt levels are expected to increase moderately over the next six years. The district has \$235 million of remaining authorized, but unissued bonding capacity under its 2012 Election, and plans to issue every other year through 2023 to fulfill projects identified in its facility master plan.

The district's 2010 COPs are supported with RDA pass-through payments received in a special revenue fund that are more than sufficient to pay debt service on these COPs and thus alleviate the General Fund lease burden, which equaled 1.3% of FY 2016 general fund expenses.

## DEBT STRUCTURE

The district's post-issuance debt portfolio consists of about \$383.7 million par value, fixed-rate, current interest and capital appreciation GO bonds, maturing through 2042, and \$8.0 million of COPs.

## DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The district is not party to any interest rate swaps or other derivative agreements.

## PENSIONS AND OPEB

District employees participate in the California Public Employee Retirement System (CalPERS) and California State Teachers Retirement System (CalSTRS). Moody's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the district, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is a relatively low at \$362.1 million in FY 2016. Moody's ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the district's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. The three-year average ANPL to full value is 0.8% and the three-year average ANPL to operating revenues is 1.9 times.

The district funds its other postemployment benefits (OPEB) on a pay-as-you-go basis and unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) was \$36.4 million in 2015, equal to a moderately low 38% of covered payroll. The district is currently funding OPEB on a pay as you go basis and is in the process of funding an OPEB irrevocable trust that will offset the OPEB UAAL.

## Management and Governance

California school districts have an Institutional Framework score of A, which is moderate compared to the nation. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. California school districts' major revenue sources are determined by the state government or, for the most part, can only be raised with voter approval. Ad valorem property tax rates cannot be increased above 1% except to meet GO bond payments, and assessed valuation growth is also generally limited to 2% annually unless a property changes ownership. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be moderate, or between 5% and 10% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. However, California has strong public sector unions and additional expenditure constraints, which limit the ability to make cuts. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be minor, under 5% annually.

The district's five-year average operating ratio of revenues to expenditures is a healthy 1.04 times, reflecting its history of maintaining healthy operating surpluses in four of the last six years. The district hired a new superintendent in January 2017.

## Legal Security

The district's GO bonds are secured by the levy of ad valorem taxes, unlimited as to rate or amount, on all taxable property within the district. The portion of district's ad valorem property tax levy restricted for debt service is collected, held and transferred directly to the paying agent by the county on behalf of the district. Under California law, the county must raise property taxes by whatever amount necessary to repay the obligation, irrespective of the school district's financial position.

The 2016 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series C (2020 Crossover) are secured by an escrow that is sufficient to pay debt service on the bonds up until the crossover date, July 1, 2020. Following the crossover date, the bonds will be secured by the typical GO levy described above.

The 2001 COPs are secured by a lease payments for the district's use and occupancy of its administrative office facility. The 2010 COPs are secured by lease payments for the district's use and occupancy of Webster Elementary School and Roosevelt Elementary School.

## Use of Proceeds

The bond proceeds will be used to finance the acquisition, construction, modernization and equipping of school sites and facilities, and to pay the costs of issuance.

## Obligor Profile

Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District serves residents of the cities of Santa Monica (Aaa/Stable) and Malibu, as well as a portion of unincorporated Los Angeles County (Aa2/Positive). Located on the Pacific Coast, the district encompasses about 29 square miles, with an estimated population of 111,980. The District currently operates 10 elementary schools, two middle schools, one K-8 school, one 6-12 school, one high school, one continuation high school, a regional occupation program, an adult education program, as well as child care and development centers, with a projected enrollment of 11,003 students in FY 2017.

## Methodology

The principal methodology used in the general obligation rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in December 2016. An additional methodology used in the lease rating was Lease, Appropriation, Moral Obligation and Comparable Debt of US State and Local Governments published in July 2016. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for a copy of this methodology.

## Ratings

Exhibit 2

### Santa Monica-Malibu USD, CA

Issue	Rating
Election of 2012 General Obligation Bonds, Series C	Aaa
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$60,000,000
Expected Sale Date	05/24/2017
Rating Description	General Obligation

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1072280